Functional assessment of DFIG and PMSG-based wind turbines for grid support applications (S-73G)

Graduate Student and co-PI: Nicholas David PI: Dr. Zhaoyu Wang

Sept. 1, 2016 – Aug. 31, 2018



PSERC IAB Meeting May 16-18, 2018

Problem Summary

Problem statement: Wind turbine generators are not completely understood, and there is room to make better use of renewable energy resources to improve power system performance.

- 1) A discrepency exists between claimed and measured DFIG reactive power capability and requires investigation.
- 2) Misconceptions exist regarding the cability of DFIG rotor inertia in providing frequency regulation in high-wind power systems.
- 3) A gap exists in DFIG control capability when applied in lowinertia power systems.

Project Components

- 1) Discrepancy with turbine manufacturer and measured reactive power capability
 - a. Derivation and case-studies to prove the discrepancy.
 - b. Propose an improvement of range with alternative terminal connection (gridconnected rotor vs. grid-connected stator).
- 2) DFIG inertial frequency response capability
 - a. Investigate response of electromagnetic coupling to rotor mass.
 - b. Test a generator's inertial response with hub-emulating flywheel.
 - c. Demonstrate natural frequency response capability, but what control is needed?
- 3) Transient frequency control for low-inertia power systems
 - a. Develop control technique to complement existing DFIG systems.
 - b. Creates a specified balance of 'electrical' and 'mechanical' dynamics (deviation of grid frequency v.s. deviation of rotor speed).

Objectives

Objective I: Verify claims of reactive power capability to meet RTE requirement of providing $Q_{grid} = \pm 0.3P_{rated}$ VAR, particularly at low wind speeds.

Objective II: Hardware demonstration of the hypothesis that it is possible to rely on physical inertia of DFIG wind turbines to support load-transients in power systems having low inertia and portfolios rich in wind energy.

Objective III: Fill the gaps of DFIG inertial-response capability to achieve reliable frequency regulation via wind power.

Proposed Approaches

For Objective I:

- a) Perform analytical derivations of reactive power generation limits over the wind speed range to validate claims.
- b) Propose a type-III wind turbine configuration with grid-connected rotor windings for increased reactive power capability.

For Objective II:

- a) Linearize inertial frequency response without controller influence to evaluate natural ability for load-transient support.
- b) Simulate existing wind turbine inertial response to localislanding and load-transient (step-change) operation.

For Objective III:

a) Develop new fast-transient control technique to leverage wind turbine physical inertia to support frequency during load transient.

Outcomes

- Case studies of two DFIGs (one theoretical and one in hardware) show that these generators can meet and exceed requirements of reactive power capability. We do not see theoretically diminished limitation at low wind speed.
- Theoretical inertial response analysis suggests a natural capability for stable load-change support from DFIG wind turbine generators, similar to the action of synchronous generators.
- Developed an inertial response control method to prescribe balance of transient in electrical and mechanical systems (with hardware experimental proof).

Publications:

- [1] N. David and Z. Wang, "Rotor-Tied Configuration of DFIG Wind Turbines for Improving Reactive Power Support Capability," accepted for 2018 IEEE General Meeting, August 2018.
- [2] N. David and Z. Wang, "Physical rotor inertia of DFIG wind turbines for short-term frequency regulation in low-inertia grids," in 2017 IEEE General Meeting, July 2017.
- [3] N. David, Z. Wang, F. Xavier, and T. Prevost, "Fast frequency response by DFIG wind turbines for power systems with low physical inertia," in review for *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*.

Objective I – DFIG reactive power capability

- Turbine manufacturer claims ability to meet reactive requirement ^{[1].}
- □RTE field observation shows a discrepancy in reactive power capability ^{[2].}.
- Inability to meet 0.3P_{rated} reactive requirement.
- Diminished capability at low wind speeds.
- But RTE's prior simple parameter analysis suggests capability to meet and exceed requirement.
- We will validate RTE's claims for ability to meet the required generator reactive power capability.



Objective I – DFIG reactive power capability

Theoretical results of machine-to-grid reactive power investigation:

- We evaluate equivalent circuit parameters, with core loss consideration, at nameplate limits.
- We Concur with notion for reactive power capability beyond RTE requirement.
- Possible cause for reduced Q at low wind – turbine is configured for high slip, and the converter voltage is low considering filter drop.



Objective I – DFIG reactive power improvement

We showed the capability, but can we improve it? Extract more??

Proposed alteration for increased generation:

- □ Change from a grid-connected stator to a grid-connected rotor.
- Frequencies of current in the machine shift with connection strategy.
- Less core hysteresis loss, greater efficiency.
- Added current-headroom is useful for reactive generation.
- ✓ Doubled reactive power generation of the laboratory generator.



Objective II – DFIG inertial capability

Experimental system:



- Flywheel added for hub mass.
- Torque controlled by converter.
- Circuit breakers to induce load transient.

Objective II – DFIG inertial capability



Natural inertial capability (when torque and reactive power control influences are neglected) is sufficient to ride through a 10% load change

Objective III – DFIG inertial response, State of art

Deficencies:

- Droop control is a 'proportional' method, with steady-state error and deep nadir.
- Inertia emulation cannot represent the actual rotor physical inertia.
- Direct voltage controllers are very fast but create current harmonic distortion.
- Stability of droop is not guaranteed.
- Very-fast phase-lockedloops require highperformance and expensive controllers.

Response in low-inertia system:

Slower torque control, A – E extends time to nadir. Droop control (F) oscillates.



Goals to striving for:

- Frequency response which is inertial, fast, and without steady-state error.
- Capitalize on natural capability of the physical rotor inertia of DFIG turbine.

Proposed Control for fast DFIG inertial response

Adapting natural ability to low-inertia response:

- Others operate on power command.
- We operate on current command, adding faster transient response.
- Depth and duration of response is prescribed.
- No added hardware or communication.
- ☐ Effective beyond
- rated-value load-change.



Stable response for local load after grid-loss:



PI control with short-term current command augmentation provides superior response.

13

Conclusion and Future Work

- DFIG wind turbines can be used in alternative ways which improve power system performance and reliability while making better use of the renewable energy asset.
- Stated reactive power claims may be underperforming.
- DFIG wind turbines are capable of providing inertial frequency response to support load transients.
- Depth and duration of DFIG wind turbine inertial response can be prescribed using the proposed control scheme.
- Ancillary performance benefits can be obtained without hardware or communication additions.
- We will perform similar work for PMSG in the final quarter.
 - [1] VEM-Motors-GmbH, "Overview wind power generators, synchronous and asynchronous generator, yaw drives." [Online]. Available: http://www.vem.fi/userData/vem/downloads/vem-motors-gmbh/tuoteluettelot/Product-overview-VEM-Windpower.pdf. [Accessed May 2, 2018].
 - [2] RTE-France, "A study of theoretical reactive power capacity of a wind turbine based on am asynchronous double-fed machine," Report. 2014.