2

23

A Multi-Timescale Data-Driven Approach to Enhance Distribution System Observability

Yuxuan Yuan, Student Member, IEEE, Kaveh Dehghanpour[®], Member, IEEE, Fankun Bu[®], Student Member, IEEE, and Zhaoyu Wang[®], Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper presents a novel data-driven method that 5 determines the daily consumption patterns of customers without 6 smart meters (SMs) to enhance the observability of distribution 7 systems. Using the proposed method, the daily consumption of un-8 9 observed customers is extracted from their monthly billing data based on three machine learning models. In the first model, a spec-10 tral clustering algorithm is used to infer the typical daily load 11 profiles of customers with SMs. Each typical daily load behav-12 ior represents a distinct class of customer behavior. In the second 13 module, a multi-timescale learning model is trained to estimate the 14 hourly consumption using monthly energy data for the customers 15 of each class. The third stage leverages a recursive Bayesian learn-16 ing method and branch current state estimation residuals to esti-17 mate the daily load profiles of unobserved customers without SMs. 18 The proposed data-driven method has been tested and verified 19 using real utility data. 20

21 *Index Terms*—Observability, spectral clustering, machine 22 learning, distribution system state estimation.

I. INTRODUCTION

DVANCED Metering Infrastructure (AMI) enables util-24 A ities to perform energy consumption measurement, 25 demand-side control, tampering detection, and voltage moni-26 toring [1]. The core element of AMI is smart meters (SMs). 27 Compared to conventional electromechanical meters that sim-28 ply record the monthly energy consumption data, SMs record 29 the real-time load consumption of customers. Recently, a rapid 30 growth of SMs has been observed in distribution systems. Ac-31 cording to statistical data provided by the U.S. Energy Informa-32 tion Administration (EIA), the nationwide number of SMs was 33 estimated to be 70.8 millions in 2016 with an annual growth of 34 6 million devices from the previous year [2]. Nonetheless, due 35 to financial limitations and cyber-security issues, the number of 36 SMs in many distribution networks is still limited. Hence, many 37 utilities still rely on traditional monthly consumption data to 38 obtain load behaviors. This lack of knowledge of real-time load 39 behaviors inhibits effective monitoring and control of the sys-40

Manuscript received July 26, 2018; revised November 20, 2018; accepted December 24, 2018. This work was supported by the Advanced Grid Modeling Program at the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Electricity under Grant DE-OE0000875. Paper no. TPWRS-01154-2018. (*Corresponding author: Zhaoyu Wang.*)

The authors are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 USA (e-mail: yuanyx@iastate.edu; kavehdeh1@gmail.com; fbu@iastate.edu; wzy@iastate.edu).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPWRS.2019.2893821

tem. One approach for solving this problem is to widely install 41 SMs, which is cost prohibitive. As an alternative solution, we 42 will design data-driven real-time load estimation techniques for 43 inferring customers' behaviors [3]. 44

In recent years, several papers have focused on load estima-45 tion, including missing data reconstruction, communication de-46 lay compensation, and unobserved customer behavior inference. 47 The previous works in this area can be classified into two cat-48 egories based on the temporal granularity of customer datasets 49 used for model development: Class I: A number of articles use 50 data with at least hourly resolution for training load estimation 51 methods [4]-[8]. In [4], a K-means-based load estimation ap-52 proach is proposed to estimate the missing measurements by 53 using historical half-hourly energy consumption data. In [5], a 54 truncated Fourier series representation and cluster analysis are 55 utilized to estimate a hybrid model of consumer load during 56 summers. In [6], several linear Gaussian load profiling tech-57 niques are employed to capture customer behaviour using SM 58 data analysis. In [7], in addition to SM data, the context informa-59 tion of customers, such as operation time during the weekends 60 and economic codes, are leveraged to allocate the respective 61 load profiles among particular groups, utilizing a probabilistic 62 neural network (PNN)-based approach. In [8], power flow sim-63 ulation data with half-hourly temporal resolution is exploited to 64 obtain load estimation using Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). 65 Class II: Instead of using data with high temporal resolution, 66 a number of papers estimate the hourly customer energy con-67 sumption by converting the monthly billing data into daily load 68 profiles [9]–[11]. In [11], hourly load estimation is performed 69 using uniform energy allocation, where the mean and variance 70 of estimated load is adjusted in real-time utilizing supervisory 71 control and data acquisition (SCADA) devices. In [9], typical 72 load profiles are assigned to the unobserved customers by com-73 paring average daily consumption values with the daily energy 74 levels of the representative load profile obtained from observed 75 customers. The pseudo load profiles of unobserved customers 76 are scaled by multiplying the estimated average consumption 77 with the corresponding load pattern. Based on the monthly en-78 ergy level, the daily load profile of unobserved customer can 79 be obtained using representative curves from statistical analysis 80 of residential, commercial, and industrial consumers' historical 81 data [10]. 82

While previous works provide valuable results, many questions remain open with respect to the real-time load estimation in distribution systems. For example, accurate performance

0885-8950 © 2019 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

of Class I models depends on high penetration of real-time 86 measurement units and availability of a sizable data history, 87 which renders their practical implementation costly. On the 88 89 other hand, Class II methods are generally based on the simplified assumption that the total daily energy consumption for 90 each customer remains almost constant during a month. This 91 assumption reduces the estimation accuracy. While in [9] a sep-92 aration between weekday and weekend consumption data was 93 introduced to alleviate this problem, this approach falls short of 94 95 distinguishing load behavior in different individual days. In order to address these shortcomings, in this paper, a spectral clus-96 tering (SC)-based multi-timescale learning (MTSL) framework 97 is proposed to estimate hourly load consumption for customers 98 without SMs, using monthly billing data. In addition to identifi-99 cation of the typical daily load behaviors for observed customers 100 [12], [13], the proposed method focuses on enhancing distribu-101 tion network observability by inferring actual load characteris-102 tics of unmetered customers from those monitored with SMs. 103 Unlike previous Class II methods that utilize the average daily 104 consumption value to assess the daily load profile, the proposed 105 106 model estimates the consumption values at different timescales to improve the load estimation performance. To achieve this, 107 three stages are included in the load estimation framework: 1) 108 Typical daily load profiles are classified and stored in a databank 109 110 using a SC algorithm trained by the AMI dataset of observed customers (i.e., customers with SMs) [14]. 2) For each class of 111 typical load behavior, a multi-layer MTSL model is developed, 112 which can decompose the monthly consumption into different 113 timescale components, such as weekly, daily, and hourly con-114 sumption. At each layer, a series of machine learning models are 115 used to allocate energy consumption at slower timescale among 116 faster timescale consumption variables. 3) Due to the absence of 117 real-time data for unobserved customers without SMs, a branch 118 current state estimation (BCSE)-aided method is proposed to 119 identify their underlying typical daily consumption [15]. The 120 residuals of BCSE are used to calculate the probability of all 121 classes using a recursive Bayesian learning (RBL) approach 122 [16]. The class with the highest probability is selected as the 123 underlying typical load behavior for the unobserved customer. 124 While this method is trained using SM data from observed dis-125 tribution systems, it can be employed to estimate the hourly 126 load data for a fully unobservable network without SMs. In 127 [17] and [18], a conceptually-similar three-stage framework is 128 provided to perform peak demand estimation for unmonitored 129 low voltage (LV) substations using typical substation-level load 130 profiles. However, our work pursues a distinct goal of inferring 131 hourly demand for the unobserved customers at the grid-edge. 132 The difficulty we face at the grid-edge, is the higher uncertainty 133 of customer-level load, which makes the construction of pattern 134 bank and demand inference challenging. Meanwhile, to monitor 135 the system states, it is necessary to obtain the time-series cus-136 tomer pseudo load rather than the daily substation peak demand. 137 Moreover, another challenging issue at the grid-edge is the un-138 available context information of customers. Our multi-timescale 139 three-stage customer demand inference model addresses these 140 challenges by only relying on monthly billing data of unob-141 served customers, SM data of observed customers, and SCADA

measurements. The proposed method has been tested using real 143 utility data and compared with existing methods in the literature. 144

The rest of this paper is constructed as follows: Section II 145 introduces the proposed observability enhancement framework. 146 In Section III, a SC algorithm is utilized to build the consumption 147 pattern bank for different types of customers. In Section IV, the 148 MTSL method is presented. Section V formulates the BCSE- 149 aided pattern identification approach. The numerical results are 150 analyzed in Section VI. Section VII concludes the paper with 151 major findings. 152

II. INTRODUCTION TO REAL DATA AND PROPOSED	153
OBSERVABILITY ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK	154

155

A. AMI Data Description

The available AMI data history contains several U.S. mid- 156 west utilities' hourly energy consumption data (kWh) for over 157 6000 customers. The data ranges from January 2015 to May 158 2018. While a few industrial consumers are included in the 159 dataset, over 95% of customers are residential and commercial 160 loads. The hourly data was initially processed to remove missing 161 data caused by communication error. Then, the AMI dataset was 162 divided into six separate subsets where each subset corresponds 163 to weekday or weekend load profiles of residential, commercial 164 and industrial customers. 165

B. Proposed Observability Enhancement Framework 166

The objective of this paper is to design a load estimation approach for fully or partially unobservable networks to avoid 168 overmuch assumptions in the location/type of measurement 169 units and availability of context information. Given that monthly 170 billing data of consumers is generally available in all distribution 171 systems, the data resource required for training the proposed 172 load estimation approach consists of unobserved customers' 173 monthly billing data and a limited number of AMI data from 174 other observed networks. Extra available context information 175 can also be added to improve the performance of the model but 176 is not required. Different stages of the proposed observability 177 enhancement framework are presented in Fig. 1. 178

- Stage I Consumption Pattern Bank: Based on the six 179 data subsets defined above, a SC algorithm is used to de-180 tect similarities in the diverse daily load profiles and define 181 customer classes accordingly. As shown in Fig. 1, the re- 182 sults of clustering, $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_M\}$, are stored in the 183 specific consumption pattern bank according to the cus-184 tomer type, with each cluster representing a typical daily 185 load profile. The pattern bank clustering results are stored 186 and employed for the development of machine learning 187 models (detailed in Section III). 188
- Stage II Multi-Timescale Consumption Inference: A 189 separate multi-layer MTSL model is trained for each class 190 of customers using SM data of observed customers to con-191 vert the monthly billing data to hourly load values. In each 192 MTSL model, machine learning algorithms are developed 193 based on various pre-determined timescales. The customer 194



Fig. 1. Proposed observability enhancement framework.

consumption at these timescales are defined as monthly 195 consumption E_M , weekly consumption E_W , daily con-196 sumption E_D , and hourly consumption E_H . The monthly 197 data is regarded as the input for the first layer of the model 198 and the hourly consumption variables appear in the out-199 put of the final layer. After the individual MTSL model of 200 different classes are developed, the hourly estimation of 201 unobserved customers are inferred by these models (de-202 tailed in Section IV). 203

Stage III - Consumption Pattern Identification: In prac-204 ٠ tice, the real hourly load of unobserved customers are un-205 available a priori to determine the homologous daily load 206 patterns. Hence, to assign a class from the daily pattern 207 databank (Stage I) to unobserved customers, a BCSE-aided 208 RBL method is proposed to identify these customers' un-209 derlying daily load profiles. Different daily profiles and 210 their respective MTSL models are used for running BCSE 211 over the target network for a period of time. The mea-212 surement residuals for each daily pattern are observed and 213 utilized to make a connection between unobserved cus-214 tomers and their correct daily consumption patterns. Based 215 on the observed residuals, a RBL method is employed to 216 217 recursively assign a probability value to each typical daily consumption pattern for each unobserved customer. Then, 218 the model with the highest probability is identified as the 219 "correct" daily profile. The MTSL corresponding to the 220 identified class for an unobserved customer is used to gen-221 erate hourly pseudo measurements for that customer pro-222 223 viding the redundancy to enhance the system observability (more details in Section V). 224

225 III. PROPOSED CLUSTERING ALGORITHM

With the advent of AMI systems, typical daily load profile classification can be performed using different clustering algorithms, such as K-means, self-organizing maps, and hierarchical clustering [19]. In this paper, a graph theory-based clustering technique known as SC is utilized to distinguish the typical load profiles of observed customers and to create the typical con-231 sumption pattern bank. According to the properties of graph 232 Laplacian, SC algorithm employs eigenvectors of graph ma-233 trices for data reconstruction. This reconstruction process en-234 hances the cluster-properties in the data, so that clusters can be 235 easily detected from the reconstruction datasets [20]. The im-236 proved cluster-properties of reconstructed datasets reduce the 237 sensitivity of the clustering process to outliers [21]. Hence, the 238 SC is robust and outperforms traditional clustering techniques, 239 such as k-means, when tested on complex and unknown cus-240 tomer load shapes [22], [23]. In this paper, we apply automatic 241 neighbor detection to avoid error from manual parameter selec-242 tion and the main steps of SC are listed as follows [14]: 243

- Step I: As a graph theoretic clustering approach, SC al-244 gorithm transforms AMI dataset into a similarity graph 245 G = (V, E), which consists of a set of vertices V and a set 246 of edges E connecting different vertices. For our problem, 247 vertices V are constructed by using the average daily load 248 profile of observed customers. Hence, V_i is the average load 249 consumption of *i*'th customer: $V_i = [E_{H1}^i, \dots, E_{H24}^i],$ 250 where $\overline{E_{H_{j}}^{i}}$ indicates the average load value at the j'th 251 hour of the *i*'th customer. The average hourly load pro-file is computed by $\overline{E_{Hj}^i} = \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{d=1}^{N_d} E_{Hj}^i(d)$, where N_d is the total number of recorded days in the training set. 252 253 254 Two vertices are connected if the corresponding pair-wise 255 similarity is non-zero. In this paper, a technique is utilized 256 for constructing fully-connected graphs, in which vertex 257 V_i is connected to all vertices that have positive similar-258 ity with V_i . The goal of similarity graph is to model local 259 neighborhood relations between data points. The value of 260 similarity relies on a scaling parameter α that controls 261 how rapidly the similarity weights, W_{ij} , fall off with the 262 distance between vertices. Note that the *distance* between 263 vertices a and b is defined as ||a - b|| [20]. Instead of 264 using a single α , we calculate a local α_i for each vertex 265 V_i that allows self-tuning of the point-to-point distances, 266 as $\alpha_i = ||V_i - V_K||$, where V_K is the K'th neighbor of 267 vertex V_i . 268
- Step II: Based on the local scaling parameter α_i , 269 the weighted adjacency matrix of the graph W = 270 $(w_{i,j})_{i,j=1,...,n}$ is developed. We have adopted the Gaus-271 sian kernel function to build the adjacency matrix W as 272 follows: 273

$$w_{i,j} = exp\left(\frac{-||V_i - V_j||^2}{\alpha_i \alpha_j}\right) \tag{1}$$

Step III: After the weighted adjacency matrix is built, 274 SC converts the clustering process to a graph partitioning 275 problem, which divides a graph into k disjoint sets of 276 vertices by removing edges connecting each two groups. 277 When the edges between different sets have low weight 278 and the edges within a set have high weight, a satisfactory 279 partition of the graph is obtained [22]. Hence, the objective 280 function of graph partitioning is to maximize both the 281 dissimilarity between the different clusters and the total 282

similarity within each cluster [24]:

$$N(G) = \min_{A_1,\dots,A_\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{c(A_i, \overline{A_i})}{d(A_i)}$$
(2)

284 where, η is the number of vertices, A_i is a subset belonging to V, $c(A_i, \overline{A_i})$ is the sum of the weights between vertices 285 in A_i and vertices in the rest of the subsets, $d(A_i)$ is the 286 sum of the weights of vertices in A_i . It was proved in 287 [20] that the minimum of N(G) is obtained at the second 288 smallest eigenvector of the Laplacian matrix. Graph Lapla-289 cian matrix is the main element of the SC algorithm and 290 constructed using the adjacency matrix W and a diagonal 291 matrix D whose (i, i)'th element is the sum of W's i'th 292 row. The normalized graph Laplacian is given by [25]: 293

$$L = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} W D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3}$$

Step IV: When the associated Laplacian matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 294 has been constructed using the similarity matrix W of ver-295 tex V_i , we compute the eigenvector $[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$ of the 296 Laplacian matrix and pick the eigenvectors correspond-297 ing to the k smallest eigenvalues, where the range of k is 298 $n \geq k \geq 2$. The first k eigenvectors are extracted to build a 299 new matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Due to the properties of the graph 300 Laplacians, the vertex V_i is represented by the *i*'th row of 301 the Y matrix. This change of representation enhances the 302 303 cluster-properties in the data and a simple clustering algorithm is able to detect the clusters in the reconstructed data 304 [22]. In this paper, we use the k-means algorithm to obtain 305 the k corresponding clusters for the original vertex, V_i . It 306 is feasible to utilized other techniques, such as the hyper-307 planes and advanced post-processing of the eigenvectors, 308 to replace the k-means method to extract the final solution 309 in this step [22]. 310

Step V: To find the best partitioning, the Davies-Bouldin 311 validation index (DBI) is applied to calibrate the SC algo-312 rithm by measuring the ratio of within-cluster and between-313 314 cluster similarities [12]. Step IV is repeated with different k values, and corresponding DBI values for each k are 315 recorded. The value of k for which DBI is minimized is 316 chosen as the optimal number of clusters [26]. This pro-317 cess is applied to the rest of the data subsets to determine 318 the number of typical load profiles. 319

320 IV. INFERENCE OF HOURLY ENERGY CONSUMPTION

A MTSL method is assigned and trained for each typical load 321 profile using the available data in the pattern bank defined in 322 Section III, to map monthly consumption data to hourly load for 323 customers belonging to each class. While hourly load variations 324 cannot be directly observed at the monthly level, a multi-layer 325 structure, where each layer corresponds to the total consumption 326 327 at different timescales, is able to make this connection between monthly and hourly data with good accuracy. Hence, the MTSL 328 is constructed in a way to keep a high correlation level be-329 tween inputs-outputs of different layers to maintain layer-wise 330 estimation accuracy. In order to identify variables with high cor-331 332 relation coefficient levels to design the structure of the MTSL, a

TABLE I STATISTICAL MULTI-TIMESCALE CONSUMPTION ANALYSIS

Layer	Correlation	Industrial	Commercial	Residential
Layer I	ρ(Ε _M , Ε _W) ρ(Ε _W , Ε _W)	0.9744 0.9600	0.9921 0.9843	0.9613 0.9309
Layer II	$\begin{array}{l} \rho(E_W, E_{D_W}) \\ \rho(E_{D_W}, E_{D_W}) \\ \rho(E_W, E_{D_{nW}}) \\ \rho(E_{D_{nW}}, E_{D_{nW}}) \end{array}$	0.9764 0.9677 0.9234 0.9241	0.9875 0.9862 0.9500 0.9771	0.9400 0.8983 0.9281 0.8871
Layer III	$\begin{array}{l} \rho(E_{D_w}, E_{H_w}) \\ \rho(E_{H_w}, E_{H_w}) \\ \rho(E_{D_{nw}}, E_{H_{nw}}) \\ \rho(E_{H_{nw}}, E_{H_{nw}}) \end{array}$	0.9498 0.9838 0.9573 0.9881	0.9429 0.9793 0.9667 0.9833	0.7747 0.7882 0.7728 0.7960

basic statistical analysis was performed on the AMI dataset, as 333 shown in Table I. The consumption levels at different timescales 334 are defined as, monthly consumption E_M , weekly consumption 335 E_W , weekday consumption E_{D_w} , weekend consumption $E_{D_{nw}}$, 336 weekday hourly consumption E_{H_w} , and weekend hourly consumption $E_{H_{nw}}$, and obtained using hourly SM data history. For different types of customers, the correlation values are shown in Table I and determined as follows: 340

$$\rho(X,Y) = \left| \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}^2}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \right| \tag{4}$$

where, X and Y are the consumption levels of observed cus- 341 tomers at specific timescales, such as monthly or weekly consumption. $\sigma_{X,Y}^2$ is the covariance of X and Y, and σ_X defines 343 the standard deviations of the variable. Using the correlation 344 analysis, a three-layer structure is developed for each type of 345 customer and typical load behavior stored in the pattern bank, 346 as shown in Fig. 2. In this figure, Layer I converts total monthly 347 consumption, E_M , to the set of weekly consumption values 348 $E_W = \{E_{W1}, \ldots, E_{W4}\}$ using ANNs connected in series. To 349 capture the temporal correlation between consumption at con-350 secutive weeks, each week's estimated consumption is also fed 351 to the next ANN corresponding to the following week's con-352 sumption. This idea is shown in (5) and generalized to all the 353 layers of MTSL, as demonstrated in Fig. 2: 354

$$E_{Wi} = ANN\left(E_M, E_{W(i-1)}\right) \tag{5}$$

The output of Layer I forms the weekly training set that 355 becomes the input of Layer II. This layer converts weekly consumption, E_W , to the set of daily consumption $E_D = \{E_{D1}, 357$ $\dots, E_{D7}\}$ by various ANNs. Based on the distinct customer 358 behavior on weekdays and weekends, Layer III is trained to 359 map the total daily consumption to hourly consumption $E_H = 360$ $\{E_{H1}, \dots, E_{H24}\}$. In the proposed model, the Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) backpropagation method is used to update the 362 network weight and bias variables [27]. The LM algorithm is 363 derived from Newton's method to minimize sum-of-square error 364 functions [28]. Compared to backpropagation algorithms with a 365 constant learning rate, LM can automatically adjust the learning 366

398

413



Fig. 2. Multi-timescale learning structure.

rate in the direction of gradient using the Hessian matrix, which significantly increases the training speed [29], [30]. The training objective function (F) and the update equation of LM can be written as:

$$\min_{b} F(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} v_{i}^{2}(b) = v^{T}(b)v(b)$$

$$\Delta b_{l} = -\left[J^{T}(b_{l})J(b_{l}) + \mu_{l}I\right]^{-1}J^{T}(b_{l})v(b_{l})$$
(6)
(7)

where, μ_l is the combination parameter at iteration l, b is the 371 set of learning parameters, J is the training objective function's 372 Jacobian, I is the identify matrix, v is the error vector, T is 373 the matrix transposition operation, and Δb_l defines the learning 374 parameter updates at each iteration. In each iteration, the value of 375 μ_l is updated based on the change of approximated performance 376 index F(b). If a smaller value is obtained, the μ_l is divided by 377 some factor $\vartheta > 1$. Otherwise, μ_l is multiplied by ϑ for the next 378 iteration. 379

For each ANN, the dataset is randomly divided into three 380 separate subsets for training (70% of the total data), validation 381 (15% of the total data), and testing (15% of the total data). 382 To calibrate the hyper-parameters of each ANN, we utilize the 383 grid search methods to find the optimal sets of four important 384 parameters of LM: the number of hidden layer, the number of 385 neurons, the value of increase factor ϑ and the value of de-386 crease factor $\frac{1}{\vartheta}$ [31]. As a multi-layer structure with a high 387 number of learning parameters, the overfitting problem poses 388 a critical risk against reliability of the learned model. Over-389 fitting is a result of model over-flexibility which occurs when 390 the model shows low bias but high variance [32]. In order to 391 overcome this problem, we have adopted two approaches in 392 this paper: 1) Early stopping mechanism, in which the training 393 process is terminated as soon as the validation error starts to 394 increase [33]. 2) Noise injection, which improves the robust-395 ness of ANNs by injecting small noise to the AMI training 396 sets [34]. 397

V. PROPOSED METHOD FOR PATTERN IDENTIFICATION

In the proposed approach, various MSTL models are assigned 399 to typical consumption patterns. In practice, monthly billing 400 data alone is not enough to determine the typical load profiles 401 of unobserved customers. The pervasive real-time data source 402 in distribution systems is a limited number of feeder-level mea-403 surements, such as SCADA voltage and current measurements. 404 In order to identify and allocate the corresponding daily pattern 405 and related MSTL to unobserved customers using only feeder-406 level measurements, a BCSE-aided RBL method is proposed 407 [16]. This learning algorithm computes the probability of each 408 typical load pattern for an unobserved customer using the resid-409 uals of a BCSE algorithm [15]. Based on the probability values, 410 the most probable class is chosen as the correct underlying pro-411 file for unobserved customer. 412

A. BCSE

A BCSE algorithm is tailored for real-time monitoring of 414 distribution systems [15] [35]. Compared to traditional state estimation methods that use node voltages as system states, BCSE 416 is shown to improve the computational efficiency and memory 417 requirements by adopting branch currents as state variables. In 418 general, the Weighted Least Square (WLS) algorithm is widelyused to solve the BCSE problem to obtain an estimation of 420 system nodes [36]. The objective function of WLS is defined as 421 follows: 422

$$\min_{x} J = (z - h(x))^{T} \Sigma (z - h(x))$$
(8)

where, z is the measurement vector, x is the state vector, i.e., 423 $x = [I_r, I_x]$ with I_r and I_x representing the branch currents' 424 real part and branch currents' imaginary part, h is the nonlin-425 ear measurement function associated with measurement z. The 426 residual vector of BCSE is defined as the difference between the 427 real measurements with estimated values, r = z - h(x), and Σ 428 denotes the weight matrix that represents the accuracy of mea-429 surements. In general, the variance of the measurement error, 430 φ^2 , is used to build Σ , as $\Sigma = diag\{\varphi_1^{-2}, \dots, \varphi_s^{-2}\}$, where s 431 represents the cardinality of z [37]. The Gauss-Newton method is adopted to solve this non-convex optimization problem [15]. The basic idea of Gauss-Newton method is to find a solution for $\nabla_x J = 0$, where $\nabla_x J$ denotes the gradient of J with respect to state variables. The iterative processes of the algorithm are as follows:

$$G(x) = H^T(x)\Sigma H(x)$$
(9)

$$[G(x^m)]\Delta x^m = H^T(x^m)\Sigma(z - h(x^m))$$
(10)

$$x^{m+1} = x^m + \Delta x^m \tag{11}$$

438 where, *H* is the Jacobian matirx of the measurement function 439 h(x), *G* is the gain matrix, and *m* is the iteration number.

440 B. Load Pattern Assignment by RBL

To identify the underlying daily consumption pattern for unobserved customers, the following steps are performed:

- **Stage I:** Select a class, denoted as *i*, from the daily consumption pattern bank, for unobserved customer *j*.
- Stage II: Use the MSTL of the selected class to generate hourly pseudo load values from the customer's monthly billing data.
- Stage III: Run the BCSE using the generated pseudo load values. Observe the residuals. The residuals of each estimator can be obtained by comparing the real measurements with estimated values.
- **Stage IV:** Define probability $p_{i,j}$ as: "the probability that 452 class *i* is the correct average daily consumption profile 453 for customer j." The initial value of $p_{i,j}$ is defined as $\frac{1}{N}$ 454 for iteration count 0, where N is the number of MSTL 455 models for a specific customer type [16]. Applying the 456 Bayes theorem and assuming a Gaussian distribution for 457 measurement error, a recursive expression for updating this 458 probability over time is obtained as follows [38]: 459

$$p_{i,j}^{o} = \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}r_{i,j}^{o^{T}} \cdot \Phi \cdot r_{i,j}^{o})p_{i,j}^{o-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^{N}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}r_{t,j}^{o^{T}} \cdot \Phi \cdot r_{t,j}^{o})p_{t,j}^{o-1}}$$
(12)

where, o is the iteration count, $r_{i,j}^{o}$ is the residual vec-460 tor of the *i*'th class with respect to *j*'th customer and is 461 computed by the corresponding state and real measure-462 ment vectors $r_{i,j}^o = z - h(x_i^o)$, Φ is a diagonal matrix that 463 represents the variances corresponding to the residual com-464 ponents $\Phi = diag \{\sigma_{r_{i,j},R}^2, \sigma_{r_{i,j},I}^2\}$ to increase the speed 465 of convergence, where $\sigma_{r_{i,j},R}^2$ is the variance of the branch 466 current real part residual and $\sigma_{r_{i,j},I}^2$ is the variance of the 467 branch current imaginary part residual. 468

- **Stage V:** Go back to Stage I.
- 470 Stage VI: Identify the underlying daily load profile for 471 the unobserved customer, i^* , as the most probable class: 472 $i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i p_i^j$.
- Stage VII: Repeat the above process for all unobserved
 customers until the average daily load profiles of all customers are identified.
- Stage VIII: Perform online BCSE for real-time system monitoring using MTSL-based pseudo hourly load



(a) Industrial (red), commercial (blue), and residential (black) weekday typical load pattern



(b) Industrial (red), commercial (blue), and residential (black) weekend typical load pattern

Fig. 3. Consumption pattern bank for industrial, commercial, and residential customers on weekday and weekend.

estimations obtained from the assigned classes to unobserved customers. 478

The main advantage of the RBL is exponential rejection of the 480 wrong load patterns and low computational complexity which 481 is advantageous in large distribution systems [16]. 482

The proposed observability enhancement framework is tested 484 for unobserved customers on a real distribution feeder, shown 485 in Fig. 4. This feeder contains three types of loads: industrial 486 (3%), commercial (20%), and residential (77%) loads. The proposed method is compared with two existing load estimation 488 approaches adopted from [9] and [11], in terms of accuracy. 489

A. Calibration Performance 490

To calibrate the parameters of SC and ANN, the DBI index 491 and grid search are utilized to find the optimal parameters. For 492 the SC method, the optimal number of cluster, k, is obtained 493 based on the minimum DBI value, as shown in Fig. 7. For 494 the calibration of ANN, the the optimal hyper-parameter set is 495 decided by the grid search method [31]. Due to page limit, we 496 have presented a sample grid search calibration result for one 497 ANN in Fig. 7. 498

B. SC Algorithm Performance

Based on the AMI dataset, the SC algorithm is utilized to 500 classify different load shapes and to create the consumption 501 pattern banks. Fig. 3 shows typical load patterns for different 502 types of customers for weekdays and weekends. As shown in 503

499



Fig. 4. A 18-node real utility feeder case.



Fig. 5. Comparison of hourly load inference with real load profile.

Fig. 3, the numbers of typical load profiles in weekdays are normally smaller than that of weekends. Compared to the diverse activities in weekends, customers have relatively few normative load behaviors in weekdays. Also, as expected, the residential customers have more load patterns than industrial and commercial customers due to the higher variation of residential load behaviors.

511 C. Pseudo Measurement Generation Performance

After consumption pattern banks have been developed from 512 AMI data of observed systems, the muti-layer MSTL models 513 are trained and tested on the feeder shown in Fig. 4. In this case, 514 the test feeder is considered to be a fully unobserved network in 515 which no customer is equipped with SMs. To reduce the error of 516 the learning model, the MTSL method has been tested over 517 12-month load data. Fig. 5 shows the comparison between 518 hourly load inference of one sample customer, obtained from 519 monthly billing data, and real load profile during that month. As 520 can be seen, the pseudo hourly load samples are able to accu-521 rately track the customer's real consumption. Fig. 6 presents the 522 accuracy comparison of load estimation for different types of 523 customers. The monthly data of test customers are used as the 524 input of all MSTL models. The goodness-of-fit measure, R^2 , is 525 used to assess the accuracy of the result, with $R^2 = 1$ indicating 526 a perfect fit. The R^2 values are used to measure the accuracy 527 of MTSLs corresponding to correct and incorrect daily pattern 528 consumption classes for all customers. The R^2 is computed by 529



Fig. 6. Customer level load estimation result.



Fig. 7. Calibration result of SC (left) and ANN (right).

the total sum of squares of estimation error and deviation from 530 mean. The equation is given as the follows: 531

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{J} (\tau_{i} - f_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{J} (\tau_{i} - \overline{\tau})^{2}}$$
(13)

where, f_i is the estimated value, τ is the observed data and 532 $\overline{\tau}$ is the mean of the observed data. As expected, the MTSL 533 load estimation model corresponding to the correct underly-534 ing consumption class for the customers has a better accuracy, 535 compared to the incorrect one. This further supports the correct 536 functionality of RBL, as described in the next subsection. Also, 537 as shown in Fig. 6, for industrial and commercial customers, the 538 learning model yields more accurate estimations compared to 539 the residential customers due to lower consumption volatility. In 540 contrast, for residential customers, the diversity and complexity 541 of human activities lead to less accurate estimations. 542

Fig. 8 shows the feeder-level daily load estimation results (in 543 weekdays and weekends) averaged over a total of 15 months for 544 our proposed learning model and two existing methods in the lit-545 erature [9] [11]. The Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) 546 criterion is utilized to evaluate the accuracy of estimation 547 methods: 548

$$M = \frac{100\%}{n_s} \sum_{t=1}^{n_s} \left| \frac{A(t) - E\{A(t)\}}{A(t)} \right|$$
(14)

where, A is the actual load value and $E\{\cdot\}$ is the mean operator. 549 As is demonstrated in these figures, the estimation MAPE values 550 for the proposed method are $\{7.40\%, 10.02\%\}$ for weekdays and 551 weekends, respectively. On the other hand, the proposed meth-552 ods in [9] and [11] show average MAPE of $\{19.47\%, 20.32\%\}$ 553 and $\{13.79\%, 21.16\%\}$ over the test set. Hence, based on this 554



(a) Sample feeder average daily load inference results in weekday



Fig. 8. Comparison of load inference results.

555 AMI dataset and the test feeder, the proposed method shows 556 a better accuracy for hourly load inference compared to the 557 previous works.

558 D. Load Pattern Identification

The performance of the BCSE-aided pattern identification 559 scheme was tested on three cases of different types of customers, 560 corresponding to industrial, commercial, and residential loads. 561 A Phasor Measurement Unit (PMU) was placed at the main 562 bus of the test feeder to provide the real measurement value 563 for BCSE. Pseudo hourly load estimations were extracted from 564 unobserved customers' monthly billing data, for different can-565 didate daily consumption profiles in the databank. According 566 to the residuals, the graphs in Fig. 9 show the probabilities as-567 signed by the RBL algorithm to the correct and incorrect load 568 patterns available in the typical daily load profile bank. Over 569 the iterations, one MSTL model has the asymptotic probability 570 close to one while others have almost 0 probabilities. Based on 571 the previous work [16], the model with the highest probability 572 is identified as the target model. As is demonstrated in Fig. 9, 573 the proposed algorithm is effective since it successfully identi-574 fies the MTSL model corresponding to the correct latent daily 575 consumption pattern, by assigning the highest probability value 576 to it for all types of customers. 577



Fig. 9. Performance of BCSE-aided RBL daily profile identification method for three types of customers.

578

E. State Estimation Performance

After hourly pseudo measurement samples are generated for 579 every unobserved customer using the proposed method, BCSE 580 can be performed in real-time over the test feeder given the 581 introduced data-driven redundancy. The error distribution of 582 real-time state estimation is shown in Fig. 10 for voltage mag-583 nitude and phase components. As is demonstrated in the figure, 584 based on the proposed load estimation approach, BCSE can ob- 585 tain system state estimation with magnitude and phase angle 586 estimation mean errors of 0.70% and 0.24%, respectively. In the 587 previous work [35], the mean errors of voltage magnitude and 588 phase angle are around 0.73% and 0.36%, respectively in the 589 BCSE algorithm with 20% maximum error for pseudo measure-590 ments. Hence, by comparison, our BCSE and machine learning 591 framework shows a comparably valid performance. 592



Fig. 10. BCSE-based state estimation performance using the proposed load inference model.

VII. CONCLUSION

593

605

In this paper, we have presented a data-driven method for 594 load estimation to improve the observability of distribution sys-595 tems without AMI. The proposed method is able to extract 596 hourly load estimations from monthly billing data for all types 597 of customers, including residential, commercial, and industrial. 598 Moreover, this approach can identify the average daily load 599 pattern of unobserved customers using a BCSE-aided proba-600 bilistic learning method. The proposed method is successfully 601 validated on a real utility feeder with real SM data and has been 602 able to improve the performances of existing methods in the 603 literature. 604

REFERENCES

- 606 [1] Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, "Advanced metering infrastructure," Feb. 2008. [Online]. Available: https://www.energy.
 608 gov/sites/prod/files/2016/12/f34/AMI ort_09-26-16.pdf
- Energy Information Administration, "Advanced metering count by tech nology type," 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.eia.gov/electricity/
 annual/html/epa_10_10.html
- 612 [3] O. Chilard, S. Grenard, O. Devaux, and L. de Alvaro Garcia, "Distribution
 613 state estimation based on voltage state variables : Assessment of results
 614 and limitations," in *Proc. 20th Int. Conf. Exhib. Electricity Distrib. Part 1*,
 615 Jun. 2009, pp. 1–4.
- [4] A. Al-Wakeel, J. Wu, and N. Jenkins, "k-means based load estimation of domestic smart meter measurements," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 194, no. 1, pp. 333–342, May 2017.
- [5] Y. Li and P. J. Wolfs, "A hybrid model for residential loads in a distribution system with high PV penetration," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 3372–3379, Aug. 2013.
- 622 [6] B. Stephen, A. J. Mutanen, S. Galloway, G. Burt, and P. Jrven-tausta, "Enhanced load profiling for residential network customers," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 88–96, Feb. 2014.

- [7] D. Gerbec, S. Gasperic, I. Smon, and F. Gubina, "Allocation of the load 625 profiles to consumers using probabilistic neural networks," *IEEE Trans.* 626 *Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 548–555, May 2005. 627
- [8] E. Manitsas, R. Singh, B. C. Pal, and G. Strbac, "Distribution system state 628 estimation using an artificial neural network approach for pseudo measure-629 ment modeling," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1888–1896, 630 Nov. 2012.
- Y. R. Gahrooei, A. Khodabakhshian, and R. A. Hooshmand, "A new 632 pseudo load profile determination approach in low voltage distribution 633 networks," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 463–472, Jan. 634 2018.
- [10] J. A. Jardini, C. M. V. Tahan, M. R. Gouvea, S. U. Ahn, and F. M. 636
 Figueiredo, "Daily load profiles for residential, commercial and industrial 637
 low voltage consumers," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 375–638
 380, Jan. 2000. 639
- [11] D. T. Nguyen, "Modeling load uncertainty in distribution network monitoring," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2321–2328, Sep. 641 2015.
- G. J. Tsekouras, P. B. Kotoulas, C. Tsirekis, E. N. Dialynas, and N. D. 643 Hatziargyriou, "A pattern recognition methodology for evaluation of load profiles and typical days of large electricity customers," *Elect. Power Syst.* 645 *Res.*, vol. 78, pp. 1494–1510, Jun. 2008. 646
- G. J. Tsekouras, I. Hatzilau, and J. Prousalidis, "A new pattern recognition methodology for classification of load profiles for ships electric 648 consumers," *J. Marine Eng. Technol.*, no. A14, pp. 45–58, 2009.
- [14] L. Zelnik-Manor and P. Perona, "Self-tuning spectral clustering," in *Proc.* 650 17th Int. Conf. Neural Inf. Process. Syst., 2004, pp. 1601–1608.
 651
- [15] M. E. Baran and A. W. Kelley, "A branch-current-based state estimation 652 method for distribution systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 653 pp. 483–491, Feb. 1995.
- [16] R. Singh, E. Manitsas, B. C. Pal, and G. Strbac, "A recursive Bayesian 655 approach for identification of network configuration changes in distribution system state estimation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 25, no. 3, 657 pp. 1329–1336, Aug. 2010. 658
- [17] R. Li, C. Gu, F. Li, G. Shaddick, and M. Dale, "Development of low 659 voltage network templatespart I: Substation clustering and classification," 660 *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 3036–3044, Nov. 2015. 661
- [18] R. Li, C. Gu, F. Li, G. Shaddick, and M. Dale, "Development of low 662 voltage network templatespart II: Peak load estimation by clusterwise 663 regression," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 3045–3052, Nov. 664 2015.
- S. M. Bidoki, N. Mahmoudi-Kohan, M. H. Sadreddini, M. Z. Jahromi, 666 and M. P. Moghaddam, "Evaluating different clustering techniques for electricity customer classification," in *Proc. IEEE PES Transmiss. Distrib.* 668 *Conf. Expo.*, 2010, pp. 1–5. 669
- [20] A. Ng, M. Jordan, and Y. Weiss, "On spectral clustering: Analysis and an algorithm," *in Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2002, pp. 849–856.
- [21] D. Xu and Y. Tian, "A comprehensive survey of clustering algorithms," 672 Ann. Data Sci., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 165–193, Jun. 2015.
 673
- [22] U. Luxburg, "A tutorial on spectral clustering," *Statist. Comput.*, vol. 17, 674 no. 4, pp. 395–416, Mar. 2007.
- [23] D. Vercamer, B. Steurtewagen, D. V. den Poel, and F. Vermeulen, "Predicting consumer load profiles using commercial and open data," *IEEE* 677 *Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 3693–3701, Sep. 2016.
- [24] I. S. Dhillon, Y. Guan, and B. Kulis, "Weighted graph cuts without eigenvectors a multilevel approach," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 680 vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 1944–1957, Nov. 2007.
- [25] F. R. K. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*. Providence, RI, USA: American 682 Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [26] F. McLoughlin, A. Duffy, and M. Conlon, "A clustering approach to 684 domestic electricity load profile characterisation using smart metering 685 data," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 141, pp. 190–199, Mar. 2015. 686
- [27] S. Sapna, A.Tamilarasi, and P. Kumar, "Backpropagation learning algorithm based on Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm," *Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, pp. 393–398, 2012.
- [28] C. L. *et al.*, "Levenberg–Marquardt backpropagation training of multilayer 690 neural networks for state estimation of a safety-critical cyber-physical 691 system," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 3436–3446, Aug. 692 2018.
- B. M. Wilamowski and H. Yu, "Improved computation for Levenberg– 694 Marquardt training," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 930– 695 937, Jun. 2010.
- [30] N. Zhang and P. K. Behera, "Solar radiation prediction based on recurrent for neural networks trained by levenberg-marquardt backpropagation learning algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies*, 2012, 699 Jan. pp. 1–7.

03

- [31] J. Bergstra and Y. Bengio, "Random search for hyper-parameter optimiza-701 702 tion," J. Mach. Learn. Res., vol. 13, pp. 281-305, Feb. 2012.
- 703 [32] I. Bilbao and J. Bilbao, "Overfitting problem and the over-training in the era of data: Particularly for artificial neural networks," in Proc. 8th Int. 704 705 Conf. Intell. Comput. Inf. Syst., Dec. 2017, pp. 173-177.
- [33] C. Doan and S. Liong, "Generalization for multilayer neural network 706 707 Bayesian regularization or early stopping," in Proc. 2nd Conf. Asia Pac. 708 Assoc. Hydrol. Water Resour., Jan. 2004.
 - [34] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, Deep Learning. 709 710 Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2016. [Online]. Available: 711 http://www.deeplearningbook.org
 - 712 [35] H. Wang and N. N. Schulz, "A revised branch current-based distribution 713 system state estimation algorithm and meter placement impact," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 207-213, Feb. 2004. 714
 - 715 [36] A. Abur and A. G. Exposito, Power System State Estimation: Theory and Implementation. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, 2004. 716
- 717 [37] K. Dehghanpour, Z. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Yuan, and F. Bu, "A survey on 718 state estimation techniques and challenges in smart distribution systems," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, to be published. 719
- 720 [38] S. Wang and Y. Zhao, "Online Bayesian tree-structured transformation of HMMs with optimal model selection for speaker adaptation," IEEE Trans. 721 722 Speech Audio Process., vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 663-677, Sep. 2001.



04

05

Yuxuan Yuan (S'18) received the B.S. degree in electrical and computer engineering from Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA, in 2017, where he is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree. His research interests include distribution system state estimation, synthetic networks, data analytics, and machine learning.



741 742



Kaveh Dehghanpour (S'14-M'17) received the B.Sc. and M.S. degrees in electrical and computer engineering from the University of Tehran. Tehran Iran, in 2011 and 2013, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA, in 2017. He is currently a Postdoctoral Research Associate with Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA. His research interests include application of machine learning and data-driven techniques in power system monitoring



Fankun Bu (S'18) received the B.S. and M.S. de- 743 grees from North China Electric Power University, 744 Baoding, China, in 2008 and 2013, respectively. He 745 is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree with the 746 Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 747 Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA. From 2008 to 748 2010, he worked as a Commissioning Engineer with 749 NARI Technology Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China. From 750 2013 to 2017, he worked as an Electrical Engineer 751 with the State Grid Corporation of China at Jiangsu, 752 Nanjing, China, His research interests include load 753

modeling, load forecasting, distribution system estimation, machine learning, 754 and power system relaying. 755

756



Zhaoyu Wang (S'13-M'15) received the B.S. and 757 M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Shang-758 hai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China, in 2009 and 759 2012, respectively, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in 760 electrical and computer engineering from the Georgia 761 Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA, in 2012 762 and 2015, respectively. He is the Harpole-Pentair As-763 sistant Professor with Iowa State University, Ames, 764 IA, USA. He was a Research Aid at Argonne Na-765 tional Laboratory in 2013 and an Electrical Engineer 766 Intern with Corning Inc. in 2014. His research in-767

terests include power distribution systems, microgrids, renewable integration, 768 power system resilience, and power system modeling. He is the Principal In-769 vestigator for a multitude of projects focused on these topics and funded by the 770 National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy, National Laboratories, 771 PSERC, and Iowa Energy Center. He was the recipient of the IEEE PES General 772 Meeting Best Paper Award in 2017 and the IEEE Industrial Application Society 773 Prize Paper Award in 2016. He is the Secretary of the IEEE Power and Energy 774 Society Award Subcommittee. He is an editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON 775 SMART GRID and IEEE PES LETTERS. 776 777